





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,548 02/26/2004		02/26/2004	Donald W. Landry	30000.2USU1 9484	
26941	7590	01/23/2006		EXAMINER	
MANDEL	& ADRI	ANO	CHISM, BILLY D		
55 SOUTH SUITE 710		/ENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PASADEN	A, CA 9	1101	1654		

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/789,548	LANDRY ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		B. Dell Chism	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Oc</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application	on Papers						
10) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/789,548 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Withdrawal of Objections and Rejections

The rejections and/or objections made in the prior office action mailed 22 April 2005, which are not explicitly stated below, in original or modified form are withdrawn.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. Applicants' arguments filed 31 October 2005 will be addressed to the extent that they pertain to the present grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. (Maintained) Rejection of Claims 1-4, 6 and 13under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lindberg et al. (Kidney International, 1988, Vol. 33, No. 1, page 229) (cited in previous office action) is maintained. The instant claim is to a method of reducing excess extracellular fluid in a patient undergoing hemodialysis by administration of a vasopressin receptor agonist and maintaining blood pressure during hemodialysis. Lindberg et al. teaches the administration of lysine vasopressin (LV) to patients undergoing hemodialysis and suffering from hemodialysis-induced hypotension. In contrast to Applicants' arguments, the methods definitively showed reduced hypotensive episodes during dialysis, and these patients required a statistically significant less amount of intravenous fluid administration; thus, Lindberg et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,548 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

teach using LV to treat refractory hemodialysis-induced hypotension through reduced hypotensive episodes and management of extracellular fluid due to reduced requirement of intravenous fluids.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. (New) Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindberg et al. (cited above) in combination with Dagher et al. (Aliment Pharmacol. Ther., 2000, Vol. 14. pages 515-521) and WO 88/01163. Lindberg et al. teach the use of the vasopressin receptor agonists, lysine vasopressin (LV), in treatment of refractory hemodialysis-induced hypotension for patients undergoing hemodialysis. The treatment in Lindberg et al. teaches a reduced rate of hypotensive episodes and reduced need for intravenous fluids during hemodialysis. However, Lindberg et al. do not teach arginine vasopressin, terlipressin, octapressin or ornipressin in the treatment methods.

Dagher et al. teach octapressin (a LV analogue), ornipressin and terlipressin, as substitutable components in treatment of hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhotic patients. However, Dagher et al. do not teach treatment of refractory hemodialysis-induced hypotension or the reduction of excess extracellular fluid.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,548 Page 4

Art Unit: 1654

WO 88/01163 teaches LV, arginine-vasopressin and ornipressin as alternate yet functionally equivalent substitutes; however, WO 88/01163 does not teach treatment of refractory hemodialysis-induced hypotension or the reduction of excess extracellular fluid.

One of ordinary skill within the art would be motivated to use LV, arginine-vasopressin, octapressin (a LV analogue), ornipressin and terlipressin in the treatment of refractory hemodialysis-induced hypotension or the reduction of excess extracellular fluid as taught by Lindberg et al. As demonstrated by Dagher et al. and WO 88/01163, arginine-vasopressin, octapressin (a LV analogue), ornipressin and terlipressin are art recognized alternate yet functionally equivalent compounds to LV that are substitutable for each other, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have an expectation of success because LV, an art recognized alternate yet functional equivalent to arginine-vasopressin, octapressin (a LV analogue), ornipressin and terlipressin, is taught by Lindberg et al. to be biologically active to achieve the instantly claimed methods. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine or substitute the instantly claimed compounds to achieve the instantly claimed methods and to do so with the expectation of success.

Conclusion

- 5. No claims are allowed.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. Dell Chism, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0962. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 08:30 AM 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, PhD can be reached on (571) 272-0974.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,548

Art Unit: 1654

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BDC

B. DELL CHISM PATENT EXAMINER Page 5